

### **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant sincerely thanks Examiner Woldemariam for conducting a telephonic interview with Applicant's attorney, Robert G. Hartman, on June 10, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's attorney traversed the Office's rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(b) and 103(a). Nevertheless, for the sole purpose of expediting allowance and without conceding the propriety of the Office's rejections, Applicant's attorney also proposed amending independent claim 1 to recite features from dependent claim 3. Applicant's attorney understood the Examiner to state that, as indicated in the Office Action, such an amendment would place claim 1 in condition for allowance. Applicant sincerely thanks the Examiner for this indication.

Applicant's attorney also discussed the possibility of amending independent claim 7 to include subject matter from dependent claim 13. Specifically, Applicant's attorney submitted that none of the cited references have been shown to disclose or suggest "subtract[ing] a printing angle" or "rotat[ing] the scanned image through the rotation angle." The Examiner and Applicant's attorney did not reach an agreement regarding this matter.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his time and courtesy shown by interviewing this matter, and respectfully submits that all pending claims stand allowable.